1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christopher L. Lebsock (Bar No. 184546) Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. (Bar No. 248460) Bruce J. Wecker (Bar. No. 78530) HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery St., Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 633-1908 Fax: (415) 358-4980 clebsock@hausfeld.com abailey@hausfeld.com bwecker@hausfeld.com	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12	DISTRICT COUNCIL #16 NORTHERN	Case No. RG15753647
13	CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND, individually and on Behalf of	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE: Honorable Michael Markman
14	All Others Similarly Situated,	DEPT: 23
15	Plaintiffs, vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
16 17	SUTTER HEALTH; SUTTER BAY HOSPITALS; MARINHEALTH MEDICAL	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND A SERVICE AWARD
18 19	CENTER; SUTTER COAST HOSPITAL; SUTTER VALLEY HOSPITALS; SUTTER BAY MEDICAL FOUNDATION; SUTTER	Date: July 24, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m. Reservation No: A-15753647-022
20	VALLEY MEDICAL FOUNDATION, and DOES 1-100.	
21	Defendants	Date Filed: January 6, 2015
22	Derendunts	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No. RG15753647	

This matter came for hearing on July 24, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. in Department 23 of the
 above court, the Honorable Michael Markman presiding, on District Council #16 Northern
 California Health and Welfare Trust Fund's ("DC16") motion for final approval of a class-action
 settlement, attorneys' fees, costs, and a class representative service award.

5 DC16 and the Class and Defendants Sutter Health, Sutter Bay Hospitals, Sutter Valley 6 Hospitals, MarinHealth Medical Center, Sutter Coast Hospital, Sutter Bay Medical Foundation, 7 and Sutter Valley Medical Foundation (collectively "Sutter" or "Defendants") entered into the 8 Settlement Agreement subject to this court's approval. The terms of the settlement are set forth in 9 the Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Arthur N. Bailey, Jr., 10 which, if approved, would resolve this action.

Having considered all papers submitted in support of the motion, and argument
considered, and for good cause appearing, the Court HEREBY GRANTS final approval of the
Settlement and orders and makes the following findings and determinations.

14 **THE COURT FINDS AND HEREBY ORDERS THAT:**

15 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and the parties to16 this Action.

17 2. The Court incorporates the Settlement Agreement by reference and all capitalized
18 terms in the Order have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement unless
19 otherwise specified.

3. The Court finds and determines the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
The Settlement is ordered finally approved pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(a) and
shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

4. The Court finds that any agreement, express or implied, that has been entered into
with respect to the payment of attorneys' fees or submission of the application for attorneys' fees
has been set forth pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(b).

5. The Court affirms the previous certification of the Class as a Settlement Class
defined as "All self-funded payers that (1) are citizens of California [as of January 6, 2015] or
state and local governmental entities of the State of California and (2) compensated Sutter for any

anesthesia services other than conscious sedation administered in operating rooms at its acute care hospitals at any time from January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2013."

1

2

6. The Court finds and determines four Class Members who validly and timely
 requested exclusion from the Class are excluded from the Settlement: General Production Service
 of California, Inc., Long Valley Community Services District, Inland Empire Utilities Agency,
 and Bloom Energy Corporation.

7 7. The Court finds and determines the manner and form of the Notice as previously 8 approved and ordered by the court in its order granting preliminary approval was reasonably 9 calculated to fully and accurately inform all Class Members of all material elements of the 10 proposed Settlement and of their opportunity to object or comment thereon, was the best notice 11 practicable under the circumstances, was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members; 12 and met the requirements of due process and the laws of the State of California. A full and fair 13 opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class 14 Members and others wishing to be heard have been heard and no objection was sustained. 15 Accordingly, the Court finds and determines that all Class Members who did not timely and 16 properly request exclusion from the Class are bound by this order and the resulting Judgment.

8. The Court finds and determines the manner of providing notice complied with the
Court's Order granting preliminary approval and the requirements of California Rule of Court
3.769(f).

9. The Court finds and determines that pursuant to the Notice approved by the Court
in its order granting preliminary approval, all self-funded payors that were citizens of California
on January 6, 2015, or state and local governmental entities of the State of California that
compensated Sutter Health for anesthesia services administered in Sutter hospitals between 2003
and 2013, with minor exclusions, were permitted to submit a claim during the claims period.

25 10. Eligible Class Members who submit valid claims may receive a *pro rata*26 distribution out of the Settlement Fund. The Court finds and determines the Plan of Notice and
27 Plan of Allocation are adequate to afford each Class Member a reasonable opportunity to secure
28 an appropriate portion of the monetary relief.

1 11. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was the product of arm's length 2 negotiations between experienced counsel. After considering Defendants' potential exposure, the 3 likelihood of success on the class claims the risk, expense, complexity and delay associated with further litigation, the risk of maintaining class certification through trial, the experience and 4 5 views of Class Counsel, the reaction of the Class to the Settlement as well as other relevant 6 factors, the Court finds and determines that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and in 7 the best interests of the Class as a whole, and the Court hereby grants final approval to the 8 Settlement and hereby directs that the Settlement be effected in accordance with the Settlement 9 Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

10 12. The Settlement Fund and any accrued interest, less administration costs, taxes, and
11 attorneys' fees and costs ("Distribution Amount") shall be distributed as follows. The Settlement
12 Administrator JND Legal Administration LLC ("JND") shall commence attempted distribution of
13 the Fund Amount as soon as practicable, but no later than 60 days from the date of this order. The
14 Distribution Amount shall be proportioned equally across the total number of claimants who
15 submitted a valid claim.

16 13. Eligible Class Members who submitted valid claims shall have 90 days to cash
17 any checks they receive. Any uncashed checks will be redistributed to the other Class Members
18 according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

19 14. Any funds remaining in the Settlement Fund after redistribution is unsuccessful
20 shall be distributed *cy pres* to Community HealthWorks and Journey Health pursuant to the terms
21 of the Settlement Agreement.

15. Huntington National Bank is the Escrow Agent. Upon court approval and pursuant
to the direction of Class Counsel, it shall distribute the Settlement Fund to JND to pay
administration costs, taxes, and the Distribution Amount. Upon court approval and pursuant to
the direction of Class Counsel, it shall distribute the attorneys' fees and costs.

16. Notice of final judgment shall be provided by posting this order and the final
judgment on the settlement website as soon as practicable after the entry of this order. These
documents shall be posted for a period of not less than 60 days from the date judgment is entered.

1	17. Class Counsel seeks attorneys' fees of \$2,490,342.82 or 30% of the Settlement		
2	Fund net of costs. In view of the risks associated with the case, the difficulty of the case, the skill		
3	of counsel, awards in similar cases, and the contingent nature of Class Counsel's representation,		
4	the court finds this award of attorneys' fees to be appropriate, and awards \$2,490,342.82 in		
5	attorneys' fees. Ten percent of the attorneys' fee award shall be held by the Settlement		
6	Administrator until completion of the distribution process and court approval of a final		
7	accounting.		
8	18. Class Counsel seeks \$2,514,857.27 in actual costs. The court finds the requested		
9	amount to be to be fair and reasonable, and the court awards \$2,514,857.27 in costs to be paid		
10	from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.		
11	19. Plaintiff DC16 seeks an enhancement payment of \$10,000. The court finds this		
12	amount fair and reasonable and awards \$10,000 to be paid to Plaintiff DC16 from the Settlement		
13	Fund in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.		
14	20. The Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order are binding on, and		
15	have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits and other proceedings		
16	that encompass the Released Claims.		
17	21. Except as necessary to enforce the Settlement Agreement, nothing related to the		
18	Settlement Agreement shall be admissibility as evidence or any liability or evidence of any		
19	concessions or admissions by Defendants about the claims in this case.		
20	22. All Claims are dismissed with prejudice.		
21	23. The Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction regarding the ongoing		
22	administration of the Settlement.		
23			
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25			
26	Dated:		
27	Honorable Michael Markman Judge of the Superior Court		
28			
	4		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No. RG15753647		