1	Christopher L. Lebsock (Bar No. 184546)	
	Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. (Bar No. 248460)	
2	Bruce J. Wecker (Bar. No. 78530) HAUSFELD LLP	
3	600 Montgomery St., Suite 3200	
4	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 633-1908	
5	Fax: (415) 358-4980	
3	clebsock@hausfeld.com	
6	abailey@hausfeld.com bwecker@hausfeld.com	
7	o weeker @madsfera.com	
8	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	DISTRICT COUNCIL #16 NORTHERN	Case No. RG15753647
12	CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND, individually and on Behalf of All	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:
	Others Similarly Situated,	JUDGE: Honorable Michael Markman DEPT: 23
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	VS.	COMPLIANCE REPORT PURSUANT TO SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 REVISED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER
15	SUTTER HEALTH; SUTTER BAY	
16	HOSPITALS; MARINHEALTH MEDICAL	Date: December 2, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m.
17	CENTER; SUTTER COAST HOSPITAL; SUTTER VALLEY HOSPITALS; SUTTER	
18	BAY MEDICAL FOUNDATION; SUTTER	Date Filed: January 6, 2015
19	VALLEY MEDICAL FOUNDATION, and DOES 1-100.	
20	Defendants	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CASE NO. RG15753647

COMPLIANCE REPORT

In accordance with paragraph 26 of the Court's Revised Final Judgment and Order dated September 16, 2025 (the "Order"), Class Counsel hereby submits this Compliance Report in advance of the December 2, 2025 final compliance hearing regarding distribution and allocation.

Class Counsel and the settlement administrator JND Legal Administration, LLC ("JND") have reviewed the 399 claims submitted to the Claims Administrator and approved by this Court for distribution pursuant to the terms of the Settlement. (See Order at pg 13.) Pursuant to Paragraph 13 of the Order and Section 9.1.4 of the Settlement Agreement, shares are to be presented to the Court for approval before checks are mailed to claiming Class members. (See Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. in Support of Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Bailey Decl."), Section 9.1.4-5; Order at pg. 4).

After reviewing the 399 claims, 17 were determined to be duplicates of other claims. 3 claims were determined to be submitted on behalf of individual health insurance subscribers, not within the Class of self-funded health plans as defined in the Order Granting Class Certification and the Settlement Agreement. (See Declaration of Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. Regarding Claims and Share Allocation for Class Counsel's Compliance Report ("Bailey Compliance Decl.") filed herewith, at ¶ 4.) On October 14, 2025, letters of denial were sent to the 3 claimants informing each of the denial and requesting a response if the claimant objected to Class Counsel's determination. None responded to raise an objection to the determination of denial. (Id., ¶ 5.). A claim filed by Claims Compensation Bureau, LLC ("CCB") was also denied. (See fn. 1, infra.)

Based on the information provided in their Claim Form regarding the number of active participants in their health plan for each year from 2003 through 2013 pursuant to the plan of allocation approved by the Court, 191 claims did not meet the threshold minimum that would generate payment of a class share. (*Id.*, ¶ 7.) As stated in the Claim form and acknowledged by each claimant who submitted a claim, claims will not be paid if the value is less than \$100.00. (*See* Exhibit B to the Declaration of Gina Intrepido-Bowden Regarding Settlement Notice Plan Implementation filed in Support of Class Counsel's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.)

Of the 191 claims, 185 list annual membership of six members or fewer, including 119 that list zero for each year. Of these 185, 77 were filed on behalf of individuals only, with no identified self-funded health plan or entity that would have such a plan. (Bailey Compliance Decl., \P 8.) These 77 claims appear not to be submitted by or on behalf of Class members, although the claims were not denied on that basis given the claim would not have generated a share under the Plan of Allocation and Distribution even if the claimant was a Class member. (Id,)

92 of the 191 claims were filed by CCB, a third party claims recovery company. Each claim attestation was signed by the same representative at CCB and all list zero annual plan membership for each year. $(Id., \P 9.)$ In addition to not meeting the minimum threshold, the CCB claims have a variety of defects including that many of the accompanying claims services agreements (1) only authorize representation in other litigation, (2) are dated before this litigation was settled or even filed, and (3) were filed on behalf of corporations located in states other than California, including two located in other countries. (*Id.*)

22 of the 191 claims were filed on behalf of entities that could qualify as class members, but the plan participant numbers are too small to generate a share under the approved pro rata allocation and distribution plan. 16 of the 22 claims report single digit or zero annual membership. (Id., ¶ 10.) On November 6, 2025, Notices of Claim Determination were mailed to the 191 claimants informing them the claim would not generate a share based on failure to meet the minimum threshold. (Id.)

The remaining 188 claimants qualified for a share of the settlement pursuant to the Plan of Allocation and Distribution approved by the Court. Attached as Exhibit A to the Bailey Compliance Decl., is the proposed share allocation of the Net Settlement Fund to be distributed to

¹ One claim filed by CCB on behalf of Advantage Sales & Marketing did provide membership numbers with the claim. But that claim was denied for three reasons: (1) two claims were submitted by CCB for the same entity, one including total membership of 1,582 with the other reporting zero membership, (2) the client services agreement submitted by CCB for this entity was dated 2016 and only authorized CCB to act on its behalf in pharmaceutical drug class action cases, and (3) another claim was submitted on behalf of this Class member by authorized agent Crowell & Moring. *See* Bailey Compliance Decl., ¶ 6, Exhibit A at pg. 1, line 26.

the 188 eligible Class members. The Net Settlement Amount to be distributed pursuant to the Order, less administration costs, attorneys' fees and costs, and District Council #16's service award, is \$5,800,799.01. (Id., \P 11.) Interest accrued for the settlement amount has not yet been included in the share allocation calculation. That amount will be determined and included in the calculation prior to the distribution so actual share amounts may vary slightly from the amounts reflected in Exhibit A. The current amount of accumulated interest is \$8,205.66. (Id., ¶ 12.) No tax reporting obligation applies in this case, and there is no need to withhold any amount from payment to Class members for taxes.² Class Counsel will provide the basis of any portion of the analysis underlying the calculations should the Court wish to review it.

Due to the requirement pursuant to the Settlement Agreement that the shares shall be presented to the Court for approval, the initial distribution has not yet been completed. Upon approval of the share allocation by the Court, Class Counsel will provide the final distribution calculation to JND Legal within one business day. Within 15 days of provision of the distribution calculation, JND Legal will mail out checks to the 188 eligible Class members. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Order, checks will be void 90 days from check issuance. Uncashed checks will be redistributed to the Class with void date 90 days after issuance. Any uncashed checks after redistribution will go to Cy Pres recipients once the Court approves the final accounting. (Order at pg. 4, Bailey Decl., Exh. A at Section 9.1.7).

Class Counsel hereby requests Court approval of the share allocation as reflected in the attached Exhibit A, subject to pro rata addition of interest. Class Counsel also requests to continue the December 2, 2025 final compliance hearing regarding distribution and accounting to a date approximately 14 days after the check void date for the initial check distribution, with Class Counsel to provide the Court with summary accounting of the Settlement Fund and status of any

23

28

² The Tax Department of JND Legal, the settlement administrator, has determined that the

settlement payments in this case, regardless of their value, are not subject to taxation for two reasons: (1) the Settlement's distributions are considered reimbursements to self-funded payer

entities for an overcharge of excessive fees, not taxable wages, or fixed/determinable income;

recipients. (*Id.*, \P 13.)

²⁴

²⁵ 26

²⁷

1	unresolved issues at least five (5) court days before the hearing. The Settlement distribution		
2	timeline prepared by JND anticipates that the initial distribution check void date will occur on or		
3	about March 26, 2026, so Class Counsel requests the final compliance hearing be scheduled for		
4	April 9, 2026, or any date thereafter that is convenient for the Court.		
5	Dated: November 20, 2025		
6	By: Why M. Sar No. 184546)		
7	Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. (Bar No. 248460) Bruce J. Wecker (Bar. No. 78530)		
8	HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery St., Suite 3200		
9	San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 633-1908		
10	clebsock@hausfeld.com abailey@hausfeld.com		
11	bwecker@hausfeld.com		
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28